

**REMARKS**

In response to the Final Office Action mailed August 22, 2003, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Applicants did not expressly reference the Examiner's objection to the drawings in the response filed October 15, 2003.

However, the issue was addressed. The Examiner's objection to the drawing points out minor inconsistencies between the specification and the drawings. Applicants requested changes to the specification to resolve the issues noted by the Examiner. Therefore, even though not expressly stated, the prior response acknowledged and corrected the issue and the Examiner's objection to the drawings. Accordingly, this case is now in condition for allowance.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this application should now be in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicant's attorney at the telephone number listed below.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Respectfully submitted

*Thomas S. Cohen et al, Applicants*

By: 

Edmund J. Walsh, Reg. No. 32, 950  
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2211  
Tel. No.: (617) 720-3500  
Attorney for Applicant

Docket No.: T0529.70007US00

Date: October 21, 2003

x11/22/03x